

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,894	10/30/2003	J. Claude Caci	T3497-8770US01	7809
118 7	7590 08/23/2005		EXAMINER	
EMERSON F	ELECTRIC CO	EWART, JAMES D		
	ARTMENT STATION	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
8000 WEST FLORISSANT ST. LOUIS, MO 63136			L	PAPER NUMBER
			2683	
		DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be waited used the previous of 3°C FR 1.13(d), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Extensions of time may be waited used the previous of 3°C FR 1.13(d), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Extensions of time may be a validated used the previous of 3°C FR 1.13(d), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Extensions of the private previous of the provided previous of the private previous of the private of the priv		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
James D. Ewart A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. His period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, in an event, honover, may a reply be timely filled James DX (90) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. His period for reply specified show is less than thirty (30) days, in any exply with the considered timely. His period for reply specified shows is less than thirty (30) days, in any exply with the considered timely. His period for reply specified shows is less than thirty (30) days, any exply with the considered timely. His period for reply specified shows is less than thirty (30) days, any exply with the considered timely. His period for reply specified the show the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any reply received by the Office later than there months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any reply received by the Office later than there months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any reduce any reply received by the Office later than there are the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any reduce any reply call the communication is non-final. Jim and the period of the mailing date of the communication is non-final. Jim and the same and		10/695,894	CACI, J. CLAUDE					
The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Raply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of term may be available under the procisions of 3° CFR 1.136(n). In covert, however, may a reply to Emely filed Ethic period for reply specified sheet is less than thirty (30) stops, as reply within the statutory minimum of birty (30) stays will be considered timely. Ethic period for reply specified sheet is less than thirty (30) stops, as reply within the statutory minimum of birty (30) stays will be considered timely. Ethic period for reply specified sheet with the mainting date of the communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended priced for reply will, by delating, cause the application to become ABANDONED (50 U.S.C. \$ 133). Control of the period of the period of the communication of the communication. The Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Each State of Month Page is available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(i). In an event, however, may a reply be timely filed cannot be considered timely. - If No period for reply septided above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (s) MONTHS from the mailing date of bits communication for the period to the reply as specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (s) MONTHS from the mailing date of bits communication. Any reply received by the Office the thin three members after the mailing date of bits communication, even if timely filed, may recise any sent period by the sent of the period by the disc the thin three members after the mailing date of bits communication, even if timely filed, may recise any sent period by the sent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.764(a). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· .	James D. Ewart	2683					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edeficions of time may be waited used the provides of 37 cPR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 5X (9) MCNT155 from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified used the provides of the communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum studutory period will be payed and legality (5) (9) MCNT155 from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for replyvill, by datatide, cause the application to become ARANDONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any ray's revieweb by the office failer than thisse mainted after the mailing date of the communication, even if finely filed, may reduce any exercise placets term adjustment. See 97 CFR 1.74(b): Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3c) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3c) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	Status	•						
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 5 Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 1-17 are subjected to. 8 Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1 Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5808)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or PTO/58)08)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowa) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-148) 5) ☐ Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) □ Notice of Paffsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to loss of the priority documents and or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 7-17 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) Z-17 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of Paftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 21 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 25 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		ô)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers 9								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) 7-17 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colonic None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Draper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s)	a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) Attachment(s)	·							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		or and defining depices not receive	u.					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa						

Art Unit: 2683

Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372:

I. Claims 1-6 are, drawn to sending a chirp-on-demand signal to a mobile station,

classified in class 455, subclass 456.2.

II. Claims 7-17 are, drawn to communication between two base stations, classified in

class 455, subclass 561.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions [I] and [II] are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this

relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require

the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the

subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP 806.05(c)). In the instant

case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as

claimed because the sending of a chirp-on-demand signal doesn't require a calibrated

transmission line between two base stations. The subcombination has separate utility such as a

wireless unit with memory/browser arrangement for wireless internet application.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. During a phone conversation with the Examiner on 08-10-2005 the Applicant, James

2

Art Unit: 2683

Carmicheal, elected to have group 1 examined.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forssen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,031,490) in view of Cahn et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,535,278).

Referring to claim 1, Forssen et al teaches a wireless radio communications system that includes at least one communications tower and a mobile unit configured to transmit a chirp-on-demand signal (Column 4, Lines 40-45 and Figure 5; 507), the system comprising: a base station configured to issue a wireless command to the mobile unit (Figure 6 and Column 10, Lines 52-53), the wireless command instructing the mobile unit to transmit a chirp-on-demand signal (Figure 5; 507 & Column 4, Lines 40-43); and a location receiver operatively connected to the at

Art Unit: 2683

least one communication tower configured to receive the chirp-on-demand signal from the mobile unit for determining a location calculation of the mobile unit (Figures 1 & 2 and Column 4, Lines 45-46 and Column 9, Lines 43-46), but does not teach and decoding the signal. Cahn et al. teaches decoding a signal (Column 2, Lines 28-30 and Column 6, Lines 43-55). Therefore at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Forssen et al. with the teaching of Cahn et al. of decoding a signal to compute the range of the transmitter (Column 2, Lines 30-32).

Referring to claim 2, Forssen et al. teaches a mobile base station for emergencies (Column 12, Line 7), chirp-on-demand (Column 4, Lines 41-44) and location receiver (Column 4, Lines 45-46), but does not teach decoding a signal that is based on a pattern of frequency, amplitude, and timing. Cahn et al. teaches decoding a signal that is based on a pattern of frequency, amplitude, and timing (Column 2, Lines 28-30 and Column 6, Lines 43-55).

Therefore at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Forssen et al. with the teaching of Fisch et al. of decoding a signal that is based on a pattern of frequency, amplitude, and timing to to compute the range of the transmitter (Column 2, Lines 30-32).

Referring to claim 3, Forssen et al further teaches wherein the mobile unit is a cellular telephone (Figure 6;108).

Art Unit: 2683

7. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forssen et al. in view of Friedman (U.S. Patent No. 4,888,595).

Referring to claim 4, Forssen et al teaches a geographically locatable cellular telephone having chirp-on-demand capabilities (Column 4, Lines 40-45 and Figure 5; 507), the geographically locatable cellular telephone (Column 3, Lines 34-41) comprising: a chirp-ondemand instruction receiver integrated in the geographically locatable cellular telephone (Column 10, Lines 62-64), the chirp-on-demand instruction receiver configured to receive and interpret a chirp-on-demand instruction signal transmitted wirelessly from a cellular communications tower (Figure 1, Figure 5, 507 and Column 4, Lines 40-45) to the locatable cellular telephone during a pre-established call requiring geographic location services (Column 12, Lines 6-8) associated with a geographic location of the cellular telephone for the purpose of geographically locating the locatable cellular telephone (Column 4, Lines 55-57); and a transmission modulator integrated in the geographically locatable cellular telephone (Figure 1; 105), but does not teach the transmission modulator configured to modulate in a pattern the frequency, amplitude, and timing of a wireless radio frequency signal emanating from the geographically locatable cellular telephone, the pattern being pre-selected to provide a recognizable signal useful in geographically locating the cellular telephone. Friedman teaches the transmission modulator configured to modulate in a pattern the frequency, amplitude, and timing of a wireless radio frequency signal emanating from the geographically locatable cellular telephone (Column 2, Lines 50-63), the pattern being pre-selected to provide a recognizable signal useful in geographically locating the cellular telephone (Column 4, Lines 65-68).

Art Unit: 2683

Therefore at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the art of Forssen et al with the teaching of Friedman wherein the transmission modulator configured to modulate in a pattern the frequency, amplitude, and timing of a wireless radio frequency signal emanating from the geographically locatable cellular telephone, the pattern being pre-selected to provide a recognizable signal useful in geographically locating the cellular telephone to provide an emergency transmitter in which the signal transmitted identifies the specific vehicle in distress (Column 2, line 1-3).

Referring to claim 5, Forssen et al further teaches wherein the pre-established call is transmitted on a channel separate from the wireless radio frequency signal used to provide a chirp-on-demand signal (Figure 5; 503,505 and 507). If the MS is not using a digital channel during the phone call, the MS is required to send a signal on a digital channel.

Referring to claim 6, Forssen et al further teaches wherein the pre-established call is carried on the wireless radio frequency signal (Figure 1; 108).

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Chao et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,314,281 discloses method and apparatus for precisely locating a mobile unit.

Art Unit: 2683

Chen U.S. Patent No. 6,141,558 discloses method and apparatus for locating a subscriber unit in a communication system.

Chiang et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,741,863 method and apparatus for locating a wireless mobile unit.

Dunn et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,873,040 discloses wireless 911 emergency location.

Dupray U.S. Patent No. 6,249,252 discloses wireless location using multiple location estimators.

Karr et al. U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0222820 Wireless location using hybrid techniques.

Liu U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0029558 discloses method and system for determining a location of a wireless transmitting device and guiding the search for the same.

Sanderford et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,917,449 discloses enhanced position calculation.

Yamanaka et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,792,262 discloses mobile system and mobile management system.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James D. Ewart whose telephone number is (571) 272-7864. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7am - 4pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on (571)272-7872. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2683

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)272-2600.

Ewart

August 17, 2005

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600